United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/668,002 | 09/22/2003 | Duane R. Pillar | 061300-0364 | 1930 |
| 26371 7590 04/18/200 FOLEY & LARDNER LLP | | | EXAMINER | |
| 777 EAST WISCONSIN | | | BROADHEAD, BRIAN J | |
| MILWAUKEE, WI 53202-5306 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 3661 | |
| | | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| • | • | | 04/18/2007 | PAPER |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | | Applicant(s) | |
|-----------------|--------------------|---------------|--|
| | 10/668,002 | PILLAR ET AL. | |
| | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| | Brian J. Broadhead | 3661 | |

| | Brian J. Broadhead | 3661 | | | | | |
|--|--|---|---|--|--|--|--|
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ars on the cover sheet with the c | orrespondence add | ress | | | | |
| THE REPLY FILED 03 April 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP | LICATION IN CONDITION FOR AL | LOWANCE. | | | | | |
| 1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods: | | | | | | | |
| a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date | | | | | | | |
| b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 70 | ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE D6.07(f). | g date of the final rejecti E FIRST REPLY WAS F | on. ILED WITHIN | | | | |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extender 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL | tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply origi than three months after the mailing da | of the fee. The approprinally set in the final Offi | ate extension fee ce action; or (2) as | | | | |
| The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS | nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to | avoid dismissal of th | ns of the date of e appeal. Since | | | | |
| 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, l (a) They raise new issues that would require further cor (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below | nsideration and/or search (see NO | | ecause | | | | |
| (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or | ., . | | the issues for | | | | |
| (d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). | corresponding number of finally rej | ected claims. | | | | | |
| 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.116 | 21 See attached Notice of Non-Co | mnliant Amendment | PTOL -324) | | | | |
| 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): | | mphant Amendment | F 10L-324). | | | | |
| Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment cancelin non-allowable claim(s). | | | | | | | |
| 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proved the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: | | ll be entered and an e | xplanation of | | | | |
| Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: | | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | • | | | | | | |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE | | | | | | | |
| 8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | d sufficient reasons why the affidav | it or other evidence is | necessary and | | | | |
| 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary | vercome all rejections under appea | al and/or appellant fai | ls to provide a | | | | |
| The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER | n of the status of the claims after e | ntry is below or attach | ed. | | | | |
| 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but | | | | | | | |
| 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). | PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s) | / | | | | | |
| 13. Other: | | Sola Mas CI | 11W | | | | |
| Property and the second | | THOMAS E | ENT EXAMINER | | | | |
| | | SUPERVISORY | | | | | |